

**NALSAR PROXIMATE EDUCATION
NALSAR UNIVERSITY OF LAW, HYDERABAD
P.G DIPLOMA IN INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW**

2006-07

**PAPER II – PROTECTION OF DEFENSELESS VICTIMS OF WAR AND ARMED
CONFLICTS**

Time: 2 hours and 30 minutes

Total marks: 75

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- 1. No clarifications can be sought on the question paper from the invigilator**
- 2. Candidates are permitted to carry the bare texts of IHL Instruments and Codes**
- 3. All questions carry equal marks (15 marks each)**
- 4. Clearly indicate the question numbers while answering them**

Answer question number 1 which is compulsory and any FOUR others

1. Write short notes on any THREE of the following, each not exceeding 300 words:
 - a. Protection of the wounded and the sick in armed forces in the field
 - b. Protection of the IDPs under IHL
 - c. Protection of children under IHL
 - d. Humane treatment
 - e. Non-combatants
2. Who are the participants to a conflict? Briefly explain and critically evaluate obligations imposed on them by IHL with suitable examples.
3. Briefly explain and critically evaluate the nature and extent of protection available under IHL for the wounded and the sick at sea. How is this protection ensured?
4. What is meant by protecting power under IHL? Briefly explain with suitable examples.
5. Briefly explain and critically evaluate the nature and extent of protection available to women under IHL with suitable examples.
6. Although there is an independent Convention and a UN agency to protect refugees, the IHL still plays an important role in protecting refugees. Critically evaluate this statement with suitable examples
7. Do you think that the prisoners of war are well protected under IHL? Explain the same with suitable examples.
8. What is the nature and extent of protection extended to civilians under IHL? Explain the same with suitable examples.
9. What is the significance of Red Cross and Red Crescent during and after a conflict? What are the consequences of the misuse of emblem during war or a conflict? What is the nature of objection undertaken by states for not misusing the emblem.